

Similarities and Differences in Flow Characteristics in Centrifugal Compressors of Different Size

E. Sundström, M. Mihaescu Royal Institute of Technology (KTH) Department of Mechanics Project duration: Nov 2013 – Nov 2017 (est)







Turbocharging & ICE

Downsize the ICE and use turbocharging for keeping the same maximum power output

- to control emission
- to improve fuel economy







BorgWarner: Computational Approach

Governing Eqs:Continuity, Momentum, Energy & Equation of stateRANS:SST k-wSolver:Coupled Flow (density based)Discretization:2nd order upwindPolyhedral Mesh:~1 million (MRF with circumferential averaged interface)





Performance parameters

CCGEx

- Fast compute steadystate RANS
- coarse grid (~1M cells)
- PR shows good trend with exp for a range of operating conditions
- A large number of operating conditions need to be simulated!
- The larger compressor is Efficiency_{t-t} (-) operating at higher mass-flow rates towards its surge line





norm uredC =23 C

Pressure ratio (PR) = P_{02}/P_{01}



Mach & Pressure (last stable operating point)

- At near surge the flow is pushed from the diffuser through the tip leakage and reaches further upstream for the larger compressor.
 - Towards near surge (lower mass-flow rates) an adverse pressure gradient develops under the volute tongue at 2 o'clock.
 - Flow directed towards this area
 - Pressure gradient in radial direction increases towards surge
 - At critical back pressures local blade separation occurs



Elias Sundström, PhD student



Relative Mach number

- CCGEx
- Similar flow field at near optimum efficiency for both designs
- At near surge (lower mass-flow rates) prediction of boundary layer separation on the blade suction side at 50% span. Source for onset of flow instability at surge condition







Outlook: Installation effects

- CCGEx
- Two counter-rotating Dean vortices introduced after the bend inlet pipe
- Performance parameters depends on shape and orientation clocking ٠
- Structures introduced may be used to mitigate effect of strong swirling • backflow at near surge.



Elias Sundström, PhD student

Outlook: Surge prediction (LES)







- Broadbanded PSD in mid frequency range
- Tonality at 43 Hz, 1st surge cycle harmonic, blade passing frequency and rotating order
- Narrowband at 0.5RO (~500 Hz)
- 8 cycles: under-resolved at low frequency range
- HOWEVER, surge frequency captured!
- Exp data thanks to Dr. Gutmark and his team at University of Cincinnati



Outlook: LES

CCGEx

- Flow instability at surge condition with broadband and ٠ narrowband features motivates transition to LES
- Use of Flow Decomposition techniques to assess • instability modes at off-design conditions: Fourier spectra, POD & DMD
- Assessment of amplified noise

AIAA 2015 - 2674 at 21st AIAA/CEAS Aeroacoustics 2015, June 22-26, 2015, Dallas, TX, USA





KTH CCGEX



Outlook: noise (LES)

CCGEx

- Acoustical fluctuation in the upstream near field
- Turbulent(hydrodynamic) fluctuation in the impeller and volute
- Amplitude amplifies towards surge
- The intensity of pressure fluctuations fall of like the inverse of the distance from the source (Lighthill, M. J. and Ffowcs Williams, J. E, Fluid Mechanics 1974)
- Broadbanded features around 0.5*RO and 3*RO, whoosh noise or surge noise (Evans D. and Ward A., SAE2005-01-2485, and Teng C. and Homco S., SAE2009-01-2053)





Elias Sundström, PhD student

KTH CCG





Outlook: DMD modes at Surge

- Dynamic Mode Decomposition (DMD) based on pressure field for CCGEx • dominant mode shapes.
 - Wave generating mechanisms captured at surge; pulsating mode, • spinning modes (Pastuhoff M., Doctoral thesis, similar modes found with PSP)





Summary/Conclusions

- Similar flow field at near optimum efficiency for both designs
 - At near surge (lower mass-flow rates) the flow is pushed from the diffuser through the tip leakage and reaches further upstream for the larger compressor
- Larger compressor operates at higher mass-flow rates towards its surge line
- The interaction between the reversed flow and incoming flow triggers surge occurrence at different locations in the map
- Adverse pressure gradient under the volute tongue
- Pressure gradient in radial direction increases towards surge
- At critical back pressures local blade separation occurs



Turbulent Kinetic Energy

- Similar flow field at near optimum efficiency for both designs
- Towards near surge (lower mass-flow rates) increased TKE at the tip leakage and reaches further upstream for larger compressor



Elias Sundström, PhD student

KTH CCGEx



Velocity profiles

- Similar flow field at near optimum efficiency for both designs
 - Towards near surge (lower mass-flow rates) flow reverses through tip leakage near the shroud and reaches further upstream for larger compressor



Elias Sundström, PhD student



Pressure coefficient

- Similar flow field at near optimum efficiency for both designs
 - Towards near surge (lower mass-flow rates) an adverse pressure gradient develops under the volute tongue at 2 o'clock.
 - Flow directed towards this area
 - Pressure gradient in radial direction increases towards surge
 - At critical back pressures local blade separation occurs





Motivation

- - Unsteady pressure loads associated with off-design operation can damage the centrifugal compressor
 - May also generate an amplified whoosh or surge noise, resulting in a notable discomfort
 - Experimental assessment of flow instabilities inside the centrifugal compressor is challenging:
 - Confinement of the geometry complicates flow visualization
 - Sophisticated setups required to deliver high quality images
 - Tight spaces where dynamic pressure transducers cannot be mounted
 - Numerical simulations ideally suited to elucidate the 3D flow inside the centrifugal compressor
 - What are the difference in the flow field between design (near • maximum efficiency) condition and off-design condition near surge?
 - Which flow phenomena(s) is/are causing surge? •
 - Are the mechanism for the on-set of flow instabilities the same for • different operating conditions (e.g. different speed-lines)?
 - Are there similarities/differences in flow characteristics in centrifugal compressors of different size?



Relative Mach number

- Velocity vectors and Mach number contours for last stable operating point. Increasing speed-lines from left to right
- Boundary layer separation suction side at 85% span.
- Source for onset of flow instability at surge condition





Reynolds number

- Similar flow field at near optimum efficiency for both designs
- Towards near surge (lower mass-flow rates) increased TKE at the tip leakage and reaches further upstream for larger compressor



Large compressor Small compressor



Background: Surge prediction (LES)

CCGEx

- Beginning of surge cycle: high pressure rising in the volute/outlet
- Adverse pressure gradient develops in the tongue region
- Flow towards the low pressure region under the tongue
- Flow pushed back towards diffuser (between 5-12 o'clock)
- Swirling back-flow (same direction as impeller's rotation) through the tip leakage affecting the incoming flow
- At the end of surge cycle PR drops, the compressor is empting
- Jets of fluid through the open ports
- Recirculation of fluid from shroud-cavity to impeller
- Rotating vortical structures upstream of impeller face

Gutmark et al., 2010. Experimental data (PIV) Hellström et al., 2012. LES frozen rotor Jyothishkumal et al., 2013. LES sliding mesh Semlitsch et al., 2013. POD/DMD Sundström et al., 2014. LES sliding mesh, POD/DMD, surf spectra



KTH CCGEx